

1 WO  
2  
3  
4  
5

6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

8  
9 JAN E. KRUSKA, ) No. CV 08-0054-PHX-SMM  
10 Plaintiff, )  
11 v. ) **ORDER**  
12 PERVERTED JUSTICE FOUNDATION)  
13 INCORPORATED, et. al., )  
14 Defendant. )  
15 )  
16 )

17 Before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion and Affidavit with Exhibits to Quash  
18 Replies, Memorandums, etc., Motion for Judgment in Full, and Motion to Recover  
19 Services Expenses ("Motion to Quash") (Doc. 73) and Motion to Withdraw Judicial  
20 Notice, Special Motion, Motion for Judgment (In Reference to Doc. 73 Only) ("Motion to  
21 Withdraw") (Doc. 87).

22 In her Motion to Quash, Plaintiff asserts that Steven G. Ford, counsel for  
23 Defendants Christopher Brocious and Barbara Ochoa, is not admitted to practice with the  
24 District Court of Arizona (Doc. 73, ¶9). Plaintiff indicates that she checked the Arizona  
25 District Court website and was unable to locate any Steven Ford admitted to practice  
26 (Doc. 73, ¶10). As a result, Plaintiff requests that the Court quash all motions, replies,  
27 and filings by defense counsel (Doc. 73). Further, Plaintiff asks the Court to grant

28

1 judgment in full in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants Brocious and Ochoa (Doc.  
2 73).

3 In the Response filed by Defendants, counsel attaches a printout from the U.S.  
4 District Court of Arizona website showing his admission and active status (Doc. 79).  
5 Subsequently, Plaintiff filed her Motion to Withdraw in which she indicates a computer  
6 "glitch" was to blame for her inability to locate defense counsel on the District Court  
7 website (Doc 87, ¶6). Despite searching the website three times for Steven Ford, Plaintiff  
8 received the same message, "the query returned no matches" (Doc. 87, ¶2). Based upon  
9 this inability to verify that defense counsel was admitted to practice in the District Court  
10 of Arizona, Plaintiff submitted the Motion to Quash (Doc. 87, ¶4).

11 In light of the evidence that defense counsel Steven Ford is admitted to practice in  
12 the District Court of Arizona, the Court will grant the withdrawal of Plaintiff's Motion to  
13 Quash.

14 Accordingly,

15 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED DENYING** Plaintiff's Motion and Affidavit with  
16 Exhibits to Quash Replies, Memorandums, etc., Motion for Judgment in Full, and Motion  
17 to Recover Services Expenses (Doc. 73).

18 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED GRANTING** Motion to Withdraw Judicial  
19 Notice, Special Motion, Motion for Judgment (In Reference to Doc. 73 Only) (Doc. 87).

20 DATED this 15<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2008.

21   
22 \_\_\_\_\_  
23 Stephen M. McNamee  
24 United States District Judge  
25  
26  
27  
28